	Case 2:11-cv-01364-JAD-CWH	Document 12	9 Filed 02/14/14	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
10	INCOLVENCY SERVICES CROLL	D INC	CASE NO 2.11 CV	01264 DMD CWII
11 12	INSOLVENCY SERVICES GROUI Plaintiff,	P, INC.,	LASE NO. 2,11-C V	-01364-PMP-CWH
13	VS.			ER AWARDING ES TO PLAINTIFF
14	MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATI	I	NSOLVENCY SEI NC.	
15	MERITAGE HOMES OF NEVADA			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
04684.23666/5763853.1		ORDER AWARE	DING ATTORNEYS' FI	EES

1	On July 22, 2013, Plaintiff Insolvency Services Group, Inc. ("ISG") filed a Motion for
2	Attorneys' Fees. (Doc. #123). On August 8, 2013, Defendants Meritage Homes Corporation and
3	Meritage Homes of Nevada, Inc. (together, "Meritage") filed an Opposition. (Doc. #124). On
4	August 19, 2013, ISG filed a Reply. (Doc. #125).
5	On January 28, 2014, the Court issued an Order (the "Order") granting ISG's Motion for
6	Attorneys' Fees in part and denying it in part, as set forth in the Order, which is incorporated by
7	reference herein. (Doc. #127). The Court further ordered that ISG submit a proposed form of
8	order consistent with the Court's ruling.
9	Attached as Exhibits A through D hereto are spreadsheets showing the fees initially
10	requested by ISG by attorney and hourly rate, along with adjustments to the requested hourly rates
11	based on the Order. Exhibits A through D demonstrate that ISG is entitled to recovery of
12	\$877,241.21 in attorneys' fees.
13	IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that ISG is hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the
14	amount of \$877,241.21, plus interest for the period between the entry of the Order, January 28,
15	2014, and payment. 28 U.S.C. § 1961; <u>Corder v. Brown</u> , 25 F.3d 833, 838-39 (9th Cir. 1994)
16	(interest awarded on attorneys' fee award pursuant to Section 1961); Friend v. Kolodzieczak, 72
17	F.3d 1386, 1391-92 (9th Cir. 1995) ("Interest runs from the date that entitlement to fees is secured
18	rather than from the date that the exact quantity of fees is set."); Finkelstein v. Bergna, 804 F.
19	Supp. 1235, 1239-40 (N.D. Cal. 1992) (same).
20	By approving this Order as to form, Meritage does not intend to waive or diminish in any
21	way its rights to challenge the award of fees and/or the amount of the award by appeal or
22	otherwise.
23	
24	DATED this 14th day of February, 2014
25	
26	Ship M. On
27	Hon. Philip M. Pro UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	

04684.23666/5763853.1